arXiv:1406.0644v1 [math.DS] 3 Jun 2014

MORSE THEORY FOR GEODESICS IN SINGULAR CONFORMAL METRICS

ROBERTO GIAMBÒ, FABIO GIANNONI AND PAOLO PICCIONE

ABSTRACT. Motivated by the use of degenerate Jacobi metrics for the study of brake orbits and homoclinics, as done in [3, 4, 5], we develop a Morse theory for geodesics in conformal metrics having conformal factors vanishing on a regular hypersurface of a Riemannian manifold.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $N \geq 2$, denote by $(q,p) = (q_1, \dots, q_N, p_1, \dots, p_N)$ the canonical coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^{2N}$, and consider a C^2 Hamiltonian function $H : \mathbb{R}^{2N} \to \mathbb{R}$ of the form

$$H(q,p) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} a^{ij}(q) p_i p_j + V(q), \tag{1.1}$$

where $q \mapsto (a^{ij}(q))_{i,j}$ is a map of class C^2 taking value in the space of symmetric positive definite $N \times N$ matrices, and $V : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ is the potential energy. The corresponding Hamiltonian system is:

$$\dot{p} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q}, \quad \dot{q} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p}, \tag{1.2}$$

where the dot denotes differentiation with respect to time. Since the system (1.2) is time independent, the function H is constant along each solution; this value is called the *total energy* of the given solution. There exists a huge amount of literature concerning the study of periodic solutions of autonomous Hamiltonian systems with prescribed energy (see e.g., [6, 7, 8] and references therein).

A special class of periodic solutions of (1.2) are the so called *brake orbits*. A brake orbit for the system (1.2) is a nonconstant solution $(q,p) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^{2N}$ such that $p(0) = p(T) = 0$ for some $T > 0$; since H is even in the momenta p, then a brake orbit is $2T$–periodic. Moreover, if E is the energy of a brake orbit (q,p), then $V(q(0)) = V(q(T)) = E$. Obviously, such a notion can be given when the quadratic form $\sum_{i,j=1}^{N} a_{ij}(x) \mathrm{d}x_i \mathrm{d}x_j$ is replaced by any C^2–Riemannian metric g on a manifold M.

In [3] it is shown that the study of brake orbits can be reduced to the study of Orthogonal Geodesic Chords in suitable manifolds with boundary. This was done using the Jacobi metric and the related distance function from the boundary of the potential well, for small values of the distance function. (This kind of results was applied in [5] to obtain multiplicity results for brake orbits and homoclinics also).

Date of revised version: December 23rd, 2013.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30F45, 58E10.

The use of the Jacobi metric and the related distance function from the boundary was introduced by Seifert in [9] to prove the existence of at least one brake orbit, assuming the potential well $V^{-1}(]-\infty, E])$ to be homeomorphic to the $N-$dimensional disk and to have smooth boundary. In [9] Seifert gave also some asymptotic estimates of brake orbits nearby $V^{-1}(E)$ which are a crucial point for our analysis of the Jacobi fields along geodesics with respect to the Jacobi metric and starting from $V^{-1}(E)$.

In this paper we will study the distance function from the boundary of the potential well also for large values of the distance, using again the classical Maupertuis principle (see Proposition 2.1), which states that the solutions of (1.2) for the natural Hamiltonian (1.1), having total energy E, are reparameterizations of geodesics relatively to the Jacobi metric:

$$g^E = \tfrac{1}{2}\big(E - V(x)\big) \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} a_{ij}(x)\, \mathrm{d}x_i\, \mathrm{d}x_j, \tag{1.3}$$

where (a_{ij}) denotes the inverse matrix of (a^{ij}).

The purpose of the present paper is to study the differentiability and related properties of the function distance from the boundary of the potential well. We will prove that, also for this degenerate case, properties similar to the nonsingular case are still satisfied. More generally, we will consider a Riemannian manifold (M, g) of class C^3, with dimension $N \geq 1$, a map $V : M \to \mathbb{R}$, and the conformal metric:

$$g_* = \tfrac{1}{2}(E - V)g, \tag{1.4}$$

$E \in \mathbb{R}$, defined in the sublevel $V^{-1}\,(]-\infty, E[)$; this sublevel will called the *potential well*. We shall denote by dist_* the distance induced by g_* and by dist the distance induced by g. We will make the following assumptions:

- V is of class C^2 in a neighborhood of $V^{-1}\,(]-\infty, E[)$;
- E is a regular value for V;
- the sublevel $V^{-1}\,(]-\infty, E])$ is compact.

To avoid further unessential techicalities we shall assume that M is topologocally embedded as an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^N$ for some N. Under these assumptions we will prove some regularity properties of dist_*. In Proposition 3.5, under the assumption of uniqueness of the minimizer, we improve the result [3, Proposition 5.6]. Let us recall that [3, Proposition 5.6] establishes the regularity of the distance function only near the singular boundary of the potential well, where the uniqueness of the minimizer is guaranteed.

Our study will naturally lead to a formulation of the Morse index theorem for g_*-geodesics in the manifold with singular boundary $V^{-1}\,(]-\infty, E])$. We will prove that, for the type of singularity considered here, one obtains a theory analogous to the fixed endpoint theory in classical Riemannian geometry. More precisely, recall that the classical Morse theory for orthogonal geodesics involves the notion of focal point, which is determined by the curvature tensor of the metric, and the extrinsic geometry of the initial submanifold, i.e., its second fundamental form. We will show here that, when the metric is assumed to degenerate on the initial submanifold in the appropriate way, then the contribution of the geometry of the initial manifold disappears, as if it had collapsed to a single point. For the precise statement of our results, see Proposition 4.3 and Theorem 4.10. Clearly, such result is relevant in

the context of infinite dimensional Morse theory for geodesics in manifolds with singular boundary, that can be employed to give lower estimates on the number of periodic orbits of Hamiltonian systems.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we recall Maupertuis principle stated with curves of class H^1. In section 3 we study the differentiability of the distance function from the boundary of the potential well. In section 4 we study conjugate points and Jacobi fields for geodesics joining $V^{-1}(E)$ with a point in $V^{-1}(]-\infty, E[)$, proving the Morse Index Theorem. In a forthcoming paper we shall describe the exponential map and its principle properties with applications to the C^2-regularity of the distance from the boundary of the potential well.

2. MAUPERTUIS PRINCIPLE

Maupertuis principle will allow us to obtain suitable estimates for geodesics with respect to the Jacobi metric (1.3). Denote by $H^1([a,b],\mathbb{R}^N)$ the Sobolev space of the absolute continuous curves from $[a,b]$ to $\mathbb{R}^N$ having derivative in $L^2([a,b])$ and consider the Maupertuis integral $f_{a,b} : H^1\big([a,b],\mathbb{R}^N\big) \to \mathbb{R}$, which is the geodesic action functional relative to the metric (1.3), given by:

$$f_{a,b}(x) = \int_a^b \frac{1}{2}\big(E - V(x)\big) g\big(\dot{x},\dot{x}\big)\,\mathrm{d}s. \tag{2.1}$$

The functional $f_{a,b}$ is smooth, and its differential is readily computed as:

$$\mathrm{d}f_{a,b}(x)\xi = \int_a^b \big(E-V(x)\big) g\big(\dot{x}, \tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi\big)\,\mathrm{d}s - \frac{1}{2}\int_a^b g\big(\dot{x},\dot{x}\big) g(\nabla V(x),\xi)\,\mathrm{d}s, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\xi \in H^1\big([a,b],\mathbb{R}^N\big)$, $\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}$ denote the covariant derivative along the curve x and ∇ is the gradient with respect to the metric g. The corresponding Euler–Lagrange equation of the critical points of $f_{a,b}$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\big(E - V(x(s))\big)\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\dot{x}(s) - g\big(\nabla V(x(s)),\dot{x}(s)\big)\dot{x}(s) + \\ \frac{1}{2} g\big(\dot{x}(s),\dot{x}(s)\big)\nabla V(x(s)) = 0,\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

for all $s \in]a,b[$. A solution of (2.3) of (2.3) will be called g_*–geodesic.

Solutions of the Hamiltonian system (1.2) having fixed energy E and critical points of the functional $f_{a,b}$ of (2.1) are related by the following variational principle, known in the literature as the *Maupertuis–Jacobi principle*.

Proposition 2.1. *Assume that the potential well $V^{-1}\big(]-\infty, E[\big) \neq \emptyset$, where E is a regular value of the function V.*

Let $x \in C^0\big([a,b],\mathbb{R}^N\big) \cap H^1_{loc}\big(]a,b[\,,\mathbb{R}^N\big)$ be a non constant curve such that

$$\int_a^b \big(E - V(x)\big) g\big(\dot{x}, \tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi\big)\,\mathrm{d}s - \frac{1}{2}\int_a^b g\big(\dot{x},\dot{x}\big) g(\nabla V(x),\xi)\,\mathrm{d}s = 0 \tag{2.4}$$

for all $\xi \in C_0^\infty\big(]a,b[\,,\mathbb{R}^N\big)$, and such that:

$$V\big(x(s)\big) < E, \quad \text{for all } s \in]a,b[; \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$V\big(x(a)\big), V\big(x(b)\big) \leq E. \tag{2.6}$$

Then, $x \in H^1\big([a,b],\mathbb{R}^N\big) \cap C^2(]a,b[)$, and if $V\big(x(a)\big) = V\big(x(b)\big) = E$, it is $x(a) \neq x(b)$. Moreover, in the above situation, there exist positive constants c_x and T, and a C^1-diffeomorphism $\sigma : [0,T] \to [a,b]$ such that:

$$\frac{1}{2}\big(E - V(x)\big)g\big(\dot{x},\dot{x}\big) \equiv c_x \quad \text{on }]a,b[, \tag{2.7}$$

and, setting $q = x \circ \sigma : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^N$, q satisfies

$$\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\dot{q} + \nabla V(q) = 0,\ \tfrac{1}{2}g(\dot{q},\dot{q}) + V(q) = E, \text{ for all } t \in [0,T]. \tag{2.8}$$

Furthermore, $q(0) = x(a), q(T) = x(b)$, and if $V\big(x(a)\big) = V\big(x(b)\big) = E$ then q can be extended to a $2T$-periodic brake orbit.

Note that the existence of a constant c_x for whicg (2.7) is satisfied is obtained readily from (2.3), contracting both sides of the equality with $\dot{x}(s)$.

To prove Proposition 2.1 we need the following results that shall be used also for the study of the Morse index.

Lemma 2.2. *There exists a positive constant C such that for any C^2–solution q of*

$$\frac{D}{dt}\dot{q} + \nabla V(q) = 0 \tag{2.9}$$

in any interval $[0,t_0]$ with $q(0) \in V^{-1}(E)$, $\dot{q}(0) = 0$ and $q(t) \in V^{-1}(]-\infty,E[)$ for any $t \in]0,t_0]$, we have

$$\left\| \frac{\nabla V(q(t))}{\|\nabla V(q(t))\|} + \frac{\dot{q}(t)}{\|\dot{q}(t)\|} \right\| \leq Ct, \text{ for any } t \in [0,t_0] \tag{2.10}$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the norm induced by the Riemannian metric g.

Proof. Since $\dot{q}$ is of class C^1, $\dot{q}(0) = 0$ and $V^{-1}(]-\infty,E])$ is bounded there exists a continuous vector field Λ, which is bounded by constants independent of q, such that,

$$\dot{q}(t) = -t\nabla V(q(0)) + t^2\Lambda(t).$$

as we see using equation (2.9) and the first order Taylor expansion of ∇V at $q(0)$.

Then there exists a continuous map Δ (bounded independently of q) such that

$$\begin{aligned}\left\| \frac{\nabla V(q(t))}{\|\nabla V(q(t))\|} + \frac{\dot{q}(t)}{\|\dot{q}(t)\|} \right\| &= \frac{1}{\|-\nabla V(q(0))t + \Lambda(t)t^2\|}\frac{1}{\|\nabla V(q(t))\|} \\ &\cdot \Big(\Big\| \nabla V(q(t))\|\nabla V(q(0))\|t - \nabla V(q(0))t\|\nabla V(q(t))\| \Big\| + \Delta(t)t^2 \Big).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\nabla V(q(0)) \neq 0$ the thesis follows considering the first order Taylor expansion of the vector field

$$\nabla V(q(t))\|\nabla V(q(0))\| - \nabla V(q(0))\|\nabla V(q(t))\|$$

which is infinitesimal (as t goes to 0) and of class C^1. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let $x : [a,b] \to V^{-1}(]-\infty,E[)$ a non constant C^2–solution of the differential equation* (2.3)*. Let c_x a positive real constant such that* (2.7) *is satisfied. Consider the map*

$$t(s) = \int_a^s \frac{\sqrt{c_x}}{E - V(x(\tau))}\, d\tau, \tag{2.11}$$

denote by $\sigma(t)$ the inverse of $t(s)$ and consider $q(t) = x(\sigma(t))$. Then q satisfies (2.8)*.*

Proof. It is a strightforward calculation, using the fact that $\sigma'(t) = \frac{E-V(x(\sigma(t))}{\sqrt{c_x}}$. □

Remark 2.4. Let x be a non constant C^2–solution of the differential equation (2.3); it satisfies (2.7) with c_x positive real constant. Note that

$$\frac{d}{ds}(E - V(x(s)) = -g(\nabla V(x(\tau)), \dot{x}(\tau)) = \\ - \frac{g(\nabla V(x(\tau)), \dot{x}(\tau))}{\|\nabla V(x(\tau)\|\|\dot{x}(\tau)\|}\|\nabla V(x(\tau)\|\|\dot{x}(\tau)\|, \tag{2.12}$$

Since $\nabla V \neq 0$ near $V^{-1}(E)$ and $\|\dot{x}(\tau)\| = \frac{\sqrt{2c_x}}{\sqrt{E-V(x(\tau))}}$, using Lemma 2.2 and classical comparison theorems for ordinary differential equations, we see that the behavior of $E - V(x(s))$ near the boundary of the potential well, is the same of the solutions of the differential equation $\dot{y} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{y}}$ nearby $y = 0$.

In particular if $x(s)$ reaches the boundary at some instant s_0, the map $E - V(x(s))$ behaves near s_0 as $(s - s_0)^{\frac{2}{3}}$. This shows that the map (2.11) is bounded. Moreover, thanks to the uniform estimates (2.10) in Lemma 2.2, we see that, when c_x is bounded independently of x, the map (2.11) is uniformly bounded (independently on x).

Proof of Proposition 2.1. Since x satisfies (2.4), standard regularization arguments show that x is of class C^2 on $]a, b[$. Integration by parts gives (2.3), for all $s \in]a, b[$. Equation (2.7) follows contracting both sides of (2.3) with $\dot{x}$ using g. Now, define $t(s)$ as in (2.11). By Remark 2.4, the real map $t(s)$ in (2.11) is well defined for all $s \in [a, b]$ and $T \equiv t(b) < +\infty$. Denoting by $\sigma(t)$ the inverse of $t(s)$, by Lemma 2.3 we deduce that the curve $q(t) = x(\sigma(t))$ satisfies (2.8). Moreover $q(0) = x(a)$ and $q(T) = x(b)$, and by the uniqueness of the solution of the Cauchy problem, if $V(x(a)) = V(x(b)) = E$ it must be $q(0) \neq q(T)$, and q can be extended to a periodic $2T$–periodic solution of (2.8), namely a brake orbit. □

3. MINIMAL GEODESICS

Let $\Omega_E = V^{-1}(]-\infty, E[)$ and recall that $\nabla V(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in V^{-1}(E)$, and that $\overline{\Omega}_E$ is compact. For any $Q \in \Omega_E$ set

$$X_Q = \big\{x \in H^1([0,1], \overline{\mathbb{R}}^N) \,:\, x(0) \in \partial\Omega_E, x(]0,1]) \subset \Omega_E, x(1) = Q\big\}. \tag{3.1}$$

Lemma 3.1. *For all $Q \in \Omega_E$, the infimum:*

$$d_V(Q) := \inf\left\{\int_0^1 \sqrt{\tfrac{1}{2}\big((E - V(x))g\big(\dot{x}, \dot{x}\big)\big)}\,\mathrm{d}s \,:\, x \in X_Q\right\} \tag{3.2}$$

is attained on at least one curve $\gamma_Q \in H^1\big([0,1], \overline{\mathbb{R}}^N\big)$, such that:

- $\big(E - V(\gamma_Q)\big)g\big(\dot{\gamma}_Q, \dot{\gamma}_Q\big)$ *is constant;*
- $\gamma_Q\big(\,]0,1]\,\big) \subset \Omega_E$*, and γ_Q is a C^2 curve on $]0,1[$;*
- γ_Q *satisfies* (2.4) *of Proposition 2.1 on the interval* $[a, b] = [0, 1]$.

Proof. For all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large, consider the non empty open set $\Omega_k = V^{-1}\big(\,]-\infty, E - \frac{1}{k}[\,\big) \subset \Omega_E$, and consider the problem of minimization of the

length functional:

$$L_V(x) = \int_0^1 \sqrt{\tfrac{1}{2}(E - V(x))g(\dot{x}, \dot{x})}\, \mathrm{d}s, \tag{3.3}$$

in the space X_k consisting of curves $x \in H^1\big([0,1], \mathbb{R}^N\big)$ with $x(0) \in \partial\Omega_k$ and $x(1) = Q$ and $x(]0,1]) \subset \Omega_k$.

Standard arguments show that the above minimization problem has a solution γ_k which is a g_*-geodesic satisfying $\gamma_k\big(\,]0,1]\,\big) \subset \Omega_k$ and $\gamma_k(0) \in \partial\Omega_k$. Since $\gamma_k(0)$ approaches $\partial\Omega_E$ as $k \to \infty$, a simple contradiction argument shows that

$$\liminf_{k\to\infty} L_V(\gamma_k) = d_V(Q). \tag{3.4}$$

For, if

$$\liminf_{k\to\infty} L_V(\gamma_k) > d_E(Q),$$

then there would exist a curve $x \in H^1\big([0,1], \mathbb{R}^N\big)$ with $x(0) \in \partial\Omega_E$, $x(1) = Q$, $x(]0,1]) \subset \Omega_E$, and with $L_V(x) < \liminf_{k\to\infty} L_V(\gamma_k)$. Therefore, a suitable reparameterization of x would yield a curve $y \in X_k$ with $L_V(y) < L_V(\gamma_k)$, which contradicts the minimality of $L_V(\gamma_k)$. Hence, (3.4) holds. Now, for any s,

$$\frac{1}{2}\big(E - V(\gamma_k(s))\big)g\big(\dot{x}(s), \dot{x}(s)\big) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2}\big(E - V(\gamma_k)\big)g\big(\dot{x}, \dot{x}\big)\, \mathrm{d}\tau = (L_V(\gamma_k))^2 \tag{3.5}$$

while, setting

$$t_k(s) = \int_0^s \frac{L_V(\gamma_k)\mathrm{d}\tau}{E - V(\gamma_k(\tau))}$$

By Remark 2.4 we have that $t_k(1)$ is bounded. Then, by (3.5), $\int_0^1 g\big(\dot{\gamma}_k, \dot{\gamma}_k\big)\, \mathrm{d}s$ is bounded, namely the sequence γ_k is bounded in $H^1\big([0,1], \mathbb{R}^N\big)$. Up to subsequences, we have a curve $\gamma_Q \in H^1\big([0,1], \mathbb{R}^N\big)$ which is an H^1-weak limit of the γ_k's; in particular, γ_k is uniformly convergent to γ_Q.

We claim that γ_Q satisfies the desired properties. First, $\gamma_Q(]0,1]) \subset \Omega_E$. Otherwise, if $b > 0$ is the last instant where $\gamma_Q(b) \in \partial\Omega_E$, by (3.4) and by the conservation law of the energy for γ_k, one would have

$$bL_V^2(\gamma_k) = \int_0^b \frac{1}{2}\big(E - V(\gamma_k)\big)g\big(\dot{\gamma}_k, \dot{\gamma}_k\big)\, \mathrm{d}\tau \longrightarrow 0,$$

because γ_k is a minimizer, and therefore there would exist a curve c_k joining $\partial\Omega_E$ with $\gamma_k(b)$ in $\overline{\Omega}_k$ such that

$$\int_0^b \frac{1}{2}\big(E - V(\gamma_k)\big)g\big(\dot{\gamma}_k, \dot{\gamma}_k\big)\, \mathrm{d}\tau \le \int_0^b \frac{1}{2}\big(E - V(c_k)\big)g\big(\dot{c}_k, \dot{c}_k\big)\, \mathrm{d}\tau \longrightarrow 0.$$

Bu then, $L_V^2(\gamma_k) \to 0$ contradicting $Q \notin \partial\Omega_E$.

Moreover, γ_Q satisfies (2.4) in $[0,1]$ since it is a H^1–weak limit of γ_k, which is a sequence of g_*–geodesics.

Clearly, γ_Q is of class C^2 on $]0,1]$, because of the convergence on each interval $[0,b]$, $b > 0$.

Finally, since $L_V(\gamma_Q) \le \liminf_{k\to\infty} L_V^2(\gamma_k)$, from (3.4) it follows that $L_V(\gamma_Q) = d_V(Q)$, and this concludes the proof. □

Remark 3.2. It is immediate to see that, γ_Q is a minimizer as in Lemma 3.1 if and only if it is a minimizer for the functional

$$f_{0,1}(x) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2}\big(E - V(x)\big) g\big(\dot{x}, \dot{x}\big)\, \mathrm{d}t \tag{3.6}$$

in the space of curves X_Q. Then, by Lemma 3.1, $f_{0,1}$ has at least one minimizer on X_Q.

Using a simple argument, we also have:

Lemma 3.3. *The map $d_V : \Omega_E \to [0, +\infty[$ defined in the statement of Lemma 3.1 is continuous, and it admits a continuous extension to $\overline{\Omega}_E$ by setting $d_V = 0$ on $\partial\Omega_E$.* □

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose that there is a unique minimizer γ_Q between $V^{-1}(E)$ and $Q \in \Omega_E$. Consider $Q_n \to Q$ and let γ_{Q_n} be a sequence of minimizers between $V^{-1}(E)$ and Q_n. Then $\gamma_{Q_n} \to \gamma_Q$ in $H^1\big([0,1], \mathbb{R}^N\big)$ and in $C^2([a,1], \mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $a \in]0,1[$.*

Proof. Consider the map

$$t_n(s) = \int_0^s \frac{L_V(\gamma_{Q_n})\mathrm{d}\tau}{E - V(\gamma_{Q_n}(\tau))}.$$

By Remark 2.4 we deduce the boundness of $t_n(1)$, so $\int_0^1 g(\dot{\gamma}_{Q_n}, \dot{\gamma}_{Q_n})$ is bounded in H^1. Suppose by contradiction (up to considering a subsequence) that

$$\gamma_{Q_n} \text{ does not converge to } \gamma_Q \text{ with respect in the } H^1 \text{ topology}, \tag{3.7}$$

and consider a subsequence $\gamma_{Q_{n_k}}$ which converges to some curve γ_* uniformly, and weakly in H^1. Now, if L_V is the functional (3.3), we have

$$L_V(\gamma_*) \leq \liminf_{n\to+\infty} L_V(\gamma_{Q_{n_k}}).$$

Now consider the minimizer γ_Q and denote by $\hat{\gamma}_k$ the H^1–curve parametrized in $[0,1]$ joining Q_{n_k} with Q by a minimal g–geodesic parameterized in the interval $[0, \text{dist}\,(Q_{n_k}, Q)]$ and which coincides with the affine reparameterization of γ_Q in the interval $[\text{dist}\,(Q_{n_k}, Q), 1]$. Clearly

$$L_V(\gamma_{Q_{n_k}}) \leq L_V(\hat{\gamma}_k),$$

and since $L_V(\hat{\gamma}_k) \to L_V(\gamma_Q) = d_V(Q)$ we deduce

$$L_V(\gamma_*) \leq d_V(Q),$$

and the uniqueness of the minimizer gives

$$\gamma_* = \gamma_Q.$$

Now by (2.3), $\dot{\gamma}_{Q_{n_k}}$ is bounded in $H^1_{loc}(]0,1], \mathbb{R}^N)$, so, again by (2.3), we obtain the convergence in $C^2([a,1])$ for any $a \in]0,1[$.

It remains to prove that $\gamma_{Q_{n_k}}$ converges strongly in H^1 (to the curve γ_Q). By the weak convergence, it will suffice to prove that

$$\int_0^1 g(\dot{\gamma}_{Q_{n_k}}, \dot{\gamma}_{Q_{n_k}})\, \mathrm{d}s \longrightarrow \int_0^1 g(\dot{\gamma}_Q, \dot{\gamma}_Q)\, \mathrm{d}s, \quad \text{as } k \to \infty,$$

and therefore we only need to show that

$$t_{n_k}(1) \longrightarrow \int_0^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}\tau}{E - V(\gamma_Q(\tau))}, \quad \text{as } k \to \infty. \tag{3.8}$$

To this end, consider q_{n_k} and q, the curves obtained by the Maupertuis principle, reparameterizing $\gamma_{Q_{n_k}}$ and γ_Q so that they satisfies (2.8), $q_{n_k}(0) = Q_{n_k}$ and $q(0) = Q$. By the uniqueness of the minimizer γ_Q it must be

$$\dot{q}_{n_k}(0) \to \dot{q}(0)$$

and continuity by the initial data in the Cauchy problem gives (3.8), because $t_{n_k}(1)$ and t_Q are uniquely determined by the relations $V(q_{n_k}(t_{n_k})) = E$ and $V(q(t_Q)) = E$ respectively. □

Proposition 3.5. *Suppose that the minimizer γ_Q between $V^{-1}(E)$ and Q is unique. Then, d_V is differentiable at Q and*

$$\nabla d_V(Q) = \frac{(E - V(Q))}{2 d_V(Q)} \dot{\gamma}_Q(1). \tag{3.9}$$

Proof. Set

$$\psi_V(Q) = d_V(Q)^2.$$

We have just to prove that ψ is differentiable at Q and

$$\nabla \psi_V(Q) = \big(E - V(Q)\big)\dot{\gamma}_Q(1). \tag{3.10}$$

Given the local nature of the result, we can use local charts around Q and recall that M is topologically embedded as an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^N$. Consider $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and

$$v_\xi(s) = (2s - 1)^+ \xi,$$

where $(\cdot)^+$ denotes the positive part. Because of the behaviour of γ_Q, for ε sufficiently small (with respect to ξ) the curve $\gamma_Q(s) + \varepsilon v_\xi(s)$ belongs to $X_{Q+\varepsilon\xi}$ (see (3.1)).

Now γ_Q is a minimizer in X_Q also for

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{2}(E - V(x)) g(\dot{x}, \dot{x})\, \mathrm{d}s \equiv f_{0,1}(x),$$

so

$$\psi(Q + \varepsilon\xi) \le f_{0,1}(\gamma_Q + \varepsilon v_\xi)$$

and therefore

$$\psi(Q + \varepsilon\xi) - \psi(Q) \le f_{0,1}(\gamma_Q + \varepsilon v_\xi) - f_{0,1}(\gamma_Q).$$

Now

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(f_{0,1}(\gamma_Q + \varepsilon v_\xi) - f_{0,1}(\gamma_Q)\right) = \int_0^1 \big(E - V(\gamma_Q)\big) g\big(\dot{\gamma}_Q, \tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}t} v_\xi\big) - \frac{1}{2} g\big(\nabla V(\gamma_Q), v_\xi\big) g\big(\dot{\gamma}_Q, \dot{\gamma}_Q\big)\, \mathrm{d}s$$

uniformly as $\|\xi\| \le 1$. Moreover, since $v_\xi = 0$ in the interval $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$, using the differential equation satisfied by γ_Q and integrating by parts gives

$$\int_0^1 \big(E - V(\gamma_Q)\big) g\big(\dot{\gamma}_Q, \tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}t} v_\xi\big) - \frac{1}{2} g\big(\nabla V(\gamma_Q), v_\xi\big) g\big(\dot{\gamma}_Q, \dot{\gamma}_Q\big)\, \mathrm{d}s = \big(E - V(\gamma_Q(1))\big) g\big(\dot{\gamma}_Q(1), v_\xi(1)\big) = \big(E - V(Q)\big) g\big(\dot{\gamma}_Q(0), \xi\big).$$

Therefore, uniformly as $\|\xi\| \le 1$,

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(\psi(Q+\varepsilon v_\xi)-\psi(Q)\right) - \big(E-V(Q)\big)g\big(\dot\gamma_Q(1),\xi\big) \le 0. \tag{3.11}$$

Moreover, since $\psi(Q+\varepsilon\xi) = f_{0,1}(\gamma_{Q+\varepsilon\xi})$ and $\psi(Q) \le f_{0,1}(\gamma_{Q+\varepsilon\xi} - \varepsilon v_\xi)$ one has

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(Q+\varepsilon\xi)-\psi(Q) &\ge f_{0,1}(\gamma_{Q+\varepsilon\xi}) - f_{0,1}(\gamma_{Q+\varepsilon\xi}-\varepsilon v_\xi) = \\ &\varepsilon\langle f'_{0,1}(\gamma_{Q+\epsilon\xi}), v_\xi\rangle_1 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}\langle f''_{0,1}(\gamma_{Q+\varepsilon\xi}-\vartheta_\varepsilon\varepsilon v_\xi)[v_\xi], v_\xi\rangle_1,\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

for some $\vartheta_\varepsilon \in]0,1[$. Here $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_1$ denotes the standard inner product in H^1 and f', f'' are respectively gradient and Hessian of f with respect to $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_1$.

Now, it is $\gamma_{Q+\varepsilon\xi}(1) = Q+\varepsilon_\xi$ and $Q \notin V^{-1}(E)$. Moreover, by Lemma 3.4, for all $l\delta > 0$, there exists $\varepsilon(\delta) > 0$ such that

$$\operatorname{dist}(\gamma_{Q+\varepsilon\xi}(s), \gamma_Q(s)) \le \delta \qquad \text{for any } \varepsilon\in]0,\varepsilon(\delta)],\ \|\xi\|\le 1,\ s\in[0,1].$$

Then, since γ_Q is uniformly far from $V^{-1}(E)$ on the interval $[\frac12,1]$, the same holds for $\gamma_{Q+\varepsilon\xi}$ provided that ε is small and $\|\xi\| \le 1$. Thus, recalling the definition of d_V in (3.2) of Lemma 3.1, the conservation law satisfied by the minimizer $\gamma_{Q+\varepsilon\xi}$ is

$$\frac12\big(E-V(\gamma_{Q+\varepsilon\xi})\big)g\big(\dot\gamma_{Q+\varepsilon\xi},\dot\gamma_{Q+\varepsilon\xi}\big) = d_E^2(y+\varepsilon\xi).$$

This implies the existence of a constant $C>0$ such that

$$\int_{\frac12}^1 g\big(\dot\gamma_{Q+\varepsilon\xi},\dot\gamma_{Q+\varepsilon\xi}\big)\,\mathrm{d}s \le C$$

for any ε sufficiently small and $\|\xi\|\le 1$.

Therefore $\langle f''_{0,1}(\gamma_{Q+\varepsilon\xi}-\vartheta_\varepsilon\varepsilon v_\xi)[v_\xi], v_\xi\rangle_1$ is uniformly bounded with respect to ε small and $\|\xi\|\le 1$, since $v_\xi = 0$ on $[0,\frac12]$, and by (3.12) we get

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(f_{0,1}(\gamma_{Q+\varepsilon\xi}) - f_{0,1}(\gamma_{Q+\varepsilon\xi-\varepsilon v_\xi})\right) = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\langle f'_{0,1}(\gamma_{Q+\varepsilon\xi}), v_\xi\rangle_1 \tag{3.13}$$

uniformly as $\|\xi\|\le 1$.

Now, using the differential equation (2.3) satisfied by $\gamma_{Q+\varepsilon\xi}$ and integrating by parts one obtains

$$\langle f'_{0,1}(\gamma_{Q+\varepsilon\xi}), v_\xi\rangle_1 = \big(E-V(Q+\varepsilon\xi)\big)g\big(\dot\gamma_{Q+\varepsilon\xi}(1),\xi\big),$$

while, since the minimizer is unique, by Lemma (3.4),

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\gamma_{Q+\varepsilon\xi}(1) = \dot\gamma_Q(1) \tag{3.14}$$

uniformly as $\|\xi\|\le 1$. Therefore, by (3.12)–(3.14) it is

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon\to 0}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(\psi(Q+\varepsilon\xi)-\psi(Q)\right) - \big(E-V(Q)\big)g\big(\dot\gamma_y(1),\xi\big) \ge 0 \tag{3.15}$$

uniformly as $\|\xi\|\le 1$. Finally, combining (3.11) and (3.15) we obtain (3.10). □

Remark 3.6. Since g and V are of class C^2, if Q_0 is sufficiently close to the boundary, then any Q close to Q_0 satisfies assumptions of Proposition 3.5, and the map d_V is of class C^2 in a neighborhood of Q_0.

Indeed, denote by $q(t,x)$ the solution of the Cauchy problem (2.8) with $q(0) = x \in V^{-1}(E)$. We have

$$\dot q(t,x) = -\int_0^t \nabla V\big(q(s,x)\big)\,\mathrm{d}s,\ q(t,x) = x - \int_0^t (t-s)\nabla V\big(q(s,x)\big)\,\mathrm{d}s, \tag{3.16}$$

from which we deduce the C^1–regularity of $q(t,x)$ and $\dot q(t,x)$. Now setting $\tau = t^2$ the map

$$q(\tau,x) = x - \int_0^{\sqrt\tau} (\sqrt\tau - \sigma)\nabla V\big(q(\sigma,x)\big)\,\mathrm{d}\sigma$$

is of class C^1 in (τ,x), while, for every $x \in V^{-1}(E)$, $\frac{\partial q}{\partial x}(0,x)$ is the identity map and $\frac{\partial q}{\partial \tau}(0,x) = -\frac12\nabla V(x)$. Then, q is a C^1–diffeomorphism defined on $[0,\tau_0[\,\times V^{-1}(E)$ for a suitable τ_0 sufficiently small, and Maupertuis' principle gives the existence of $\bar\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small such that for any Q satisfying $E-\bar\epsilon \le V(Q) \le E$ there exists a unique minimizer γ_Q.

We can then apply Proposition 3.5, obtaining the differentiability of d_V and formula (3.9). Finally, denote by $Q \mapsto \big(\tau(Q), x(Q)\big)$ the inverse of the map $q(\tau,x)$ and observe that, by Maupertuis principle,

$$q\big(t,x(Q)\big) = \gamma_Q(s) \text{ and } t(s) = d_V^2(Q)\int_0^s \frac{\mathrm{d}r}{E - V(\gamma_Q(r))},\quad s\in[0,1].$$

Thus, by (3.9)

$$\nabla d_V(Q) = \tfrac12 d_V(Q)\dot q\big(\sqrt{\tau(Q)}, x(Q)\big),$$

obtaining the C^2–regularity of the map d_V.

4. The Morse Index Theorem

In order to study conjugate points and Jacobi fields for geodesics joining $V^{-1}(E)$ with $Q \in \Omega_E = V^{-1}\big(]-\infty,E[\big)$ we have to consider the geodesics action functional

$$f(\gamma) = \frac12\int_0^1 \big(E - V(\gamma(s))\big) g(\dot\gamma(s),\dot\gamma(s))\,\mathrm{d}s, \tag{4.1}$$

which is a C^2-functional defined in the space X_Q consisting of all absolutely continuous curves $\gamma : [0,1] \to M$ satisfying:

$$\int_0^1 g(\dot\gamma,\dot\gamma)\,\mathrm{d}t < +\infty; \tag{4.2}$$

$$\gamma(0) \in V^{-1}(E),\quad \gamma(1) = Q. \tag{4.3}$$

The abstract analytical structure of the above variational problem is well known. The space X_Q has the structure of an infinite dimensional Hilbert manifold; for $\gamma \in X_Q$, the tangent space is identified by

$$T_\gamma X_Q = \big\{\xi \text{ vector field of class } H^{1,2} \text{ along } \gamma : \xi(0) \in T_{\gamma(0)}V^{-1}(E),\ \xi(1) = 0\big\},$$

(where T_qM is the tangent space of M at q), and its natural Hilbert structure is given by

$$\langle \xi,\xi\rangle = \int_0^1 g\big(\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi, \tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi\big)\,\mathrm{d}s. \tag{4.4}$$

Remark 4.1. The functional f is smooth on X_Q, and we have:

$$\mathrm{d}f(\gamma)[\xi] = \int_0^1 (E - V(\gamma)) g\big(\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi, \dot\gamma\big) - \tfrac{1}{2} g\big(\nabla V(\gamma), \xi\big) g(\dot\gamma, \dot\gamma)\, \mathrm{d}s, \tag{4.5}$$

because $\dot\gamma \in L^2$. The critical points are geodesics relatively to the metric (1.3) (and therefore they satisfy equation (2.3)) with the boundary conditions (4.3). Note that, since $Q \notin V^{-1}(E)$, then γ is a not constant curve. Thus, there exists a strictly positive real constant c_γ such that

$$\tfrac{1}{2}\big(E - V(\gamma(s))\big) g\big(\dot\gamma(s), \dot\gamma(s)\big) \equiv c_\gamma$$

In particular $\gamma(s) \in \Omega_E$ for any $s \in]0, 1]$.

Note also that if γ is a critical curve, partial integration in (4.5) does not give further conditions on γ at $s = 0$. Indeed $E - V(\gamma(s))$ goes like $s^{2/3}$ as $s \to 0$, so $\dot\gamma$ behaves like $s^{-1/3}$ as $s \to 0$ and therefore $(E - V(\gamma(s)))\dot\gamma(s)$ goes to 0 as $s \to 0$. However there is an "automatic" orthogonality property as pointed out in Lemma 2.2.

If γ is a Jacobi geodesic parameterized by arc length, the Maupertuis principle says that the relation between the arc parameter s of γ and the time that parameterized the curve q is given by

$$t(s) = \int_0^s \frac{1}{E - V(\gamma(r))} \mathrm{d}r. \tag{4.6}$$

Since $E - V(\gamma(s))$ asymptotically behaves like $s^{2/3}$ as $s \to 0$ we immediately deduce

Corollary 4.2. *There exists a positive constant C_0 such that*

$$\frac{\dot\gamma(s)}{\|\dot\gamma(s)\|} = -\frac{\nabla V(\gamma(s))}{\|\nabla V(\gamma(s)\|} + \Sigma(s), \text{ with } \|\Sigma(s)\| \le C_0 \cdot s^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

Proposition 4.3. *Let γ be a critical point of $f : X_Q \to \mathbb{R}$. For any $\xi \in T_\gamma(X_Q)$, the Hessian $f''(\gamma)$ satisfies:*

$$\begin{aligned} f''(\gamma)[\xi,\xi] = \int_0^1 -\frac{1}{2} g(\dot\gamma,\dot\gamma) H^V(\gamma)[\xi,\xi] - 2g(\nabla V(\gamma),\xi) g\big(\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi, \dot\gamma\big)\, \mathrm{d}s + \\ \int_0^1 (E - V(\gamma)) \Big[g\big(\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi, \tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi\big) + g\big(R(\xi,\dot\gamma)\xi,\dot\gamma\big)\Big]\, \mathrm{d}s, \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

where R denotes the Riemann tensor for the metric g and H_V denotes the Hessian of V, namely $\mathrm{H}^V(q)(v,w) = g\big((\nabla_v \nabla V)(q), w\big)$ for all $v, w \in T_q M$ (equivalently, $\mathrm{H}^\phi(q)(v,v) = \frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}s^2}\big|_{s=0} \phi(\gamma(s))$, where $\gamma :]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon[\to M$ is the unique – affinely parameterized – geodesic in M with $\gamma(0) = q$ and $\dot\gamma(0) = v$).

Remark 4.4. Note that in our case, differently from the classical one, the initial the contribution of the geometry of the initial manifold disappears, as if it had collapsed to a single point.

Proof of Proposition 4.3. Consider a variation $z_r(s)$ of γ in X_Q, where $r \in]-\epsilon, \epsilon[$ and $s \in [0, 1]$, in such a way that $z_0 = \gamma$. We denote by $z_r'(s)$ the derivative with

respect to s and with $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}z_r$ the derivative with respect to the variational parameter r. Let $\xi \in T_\gamma X$ such that $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}\big|_{r=0} z_r = \xi$. Moreover, set $h(r) = f(z_r)$, that is

$$h(r) = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 (E - V(z_r(s)) g(z_r'(s), z_r'(s))\,\mathrm{d}s,$$

and since γ is a critical point of f we have

$$f''(\gamma)[\xi,\xi] = h''(0).$$

Differentiating $h(r)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} h'(r) = \int_0^1 \Big[&-\tfrac{1}{2} g(\nabla V(z_r(s), \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} z_r(s)) g(z_r'(s), z_r'(s)) \\ &+ (E - V(z_r(s)) g\left(\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}r} z_r'(s), z_r'(s)\right)\Big]\,\mathrm{d}s = \\ \int_0^1 \Big[&-\tfrac{1}{2} g(\nabla V(z_r(s), \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} z_r(s)) g(z_r'(s), z_r'(s)) \\ &+ (E - V(z_r(s)) g\left(\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} z_r(s), z_r'(s)\right)\Big]\,\mathrm{d}s, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used $\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}r}$ and $\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}$ to denote the covariant derivative induced by the Levi–Civita connection of g, made using the vector fields $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}z_r$ and z_r' respectively. Differentiating once again we have

$$\begin{aligned} h''(r) = &\\ &\int_0^1 \left[-\tfrac{1}{2} g(z_r', z_r') H^V(z_r)[\tfrac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} z_r, \tfrac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} z_r] - \tfrac{1}{2} g(z_r', z_r') g(\nabla V(z_r), \tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}r}\tfrac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} z_r)\right]\,\mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \int_0^1 -2 g(\nabla V(z_r), \tfrac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} z_r) g(\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\tfrac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} z_r, z_r')\,\mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \int_0^1 (E - V(z_r))\left[g(\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}r}\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\tfrac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} z_r, z_r') + g(\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\tfrac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} z_r, \tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}r} z_r')\right]\,\mathrm{d}s, \end{aligned}$$

where the argument s in the above functions is understood. Now

$$\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}r}\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\tfrac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} z_r = \tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}r}\tfrac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} z_r + R(\tfrac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} z_r, z_r')\tfrac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} z_r,$$

where R denotes the Riemann tensor of g, chosen with the appropriate sign convention. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} h''(r) = &\\ &\int_0^1 [-\tfrac{1}{2} g(z_r', z_r') H^V(z_r)[\tfrac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} z_r, \tfrac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} z_r] - \tfrac{1}{2} g(z_r', z_r') g(\nabla V(z_r), \tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}r}\tfrac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} z_r)]\,\mathrm{d}s + \\ &\int_0^1 [-2 g(\nabla V(z_r), \tfrac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} z_r) g(\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\tfrac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} z_r, z_r') + (E - V(z)) g(R(\tfrac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} z_r, z_r')\tfrac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} z_r, z_r')]\,\mathrm{d}s + \\ &\int_0^1 (E - V(z))[g(\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}r}\tfrac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} z_r, z_r') + g(\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\tfrac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} z_r, \tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\tfrac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} z_r)]\,\mathrm{d}s. \end{aligned}$$

By the local nature of the problem we can assume that M is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^N$, so we can choose

$$z_r(s) = \gamma(s) + r\xi(s) - (1-s)[\gamma(0) + r\xi(0) - \Pi(\gamma(0) + r\xi(0))],$$

where Π is the projection on $V^{-1}(E)$ which is well defined for r is sufficiently small (recall that the choice of z is arbitrary since γ is a critical point of f). Then, setting $\frac{D}{\mathrm{d}r}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}\big|_{r=0} z_r(s) = Y(s)$ we have

$$h''(0) = \int_0^1 -\frac{1}{2}g(\dot\gamma,\dot\gamma)H^V(\gamma)[\xi,\xi] - 2g(\nabla V(\gamma),\xi)g(\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi,\dot\gamma)\,\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^1 (E-V(\gamma))\left[g(\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi,\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi) + g(R(\xi,\dot\gamma)\xi,\dot\gamma)\right]\,\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^1 [(E-V(\gamma))g(\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}Y,\dot\gamma) - \frac{1}{2}g(\dot\gamma,\dot\gamma)g(\nabla V(\gamma),Y)]\,\mathrm{d}s$$

Note that the curve $(E-V(\gamma))\dot\gamma$ can be continuously extended to $s=0$ setting $[(E-V(\gamma))\dot\gamma](0)=0$ because $g(\dot\gamma,\dot\gamma) = \frac{c_\gamma}{E-V(\gamma)}$, $c_\gamma>0$. Moreover standard regularization arguments show that $(E-V(\gamma))\dot\gamma$ is of class C^1 and we deduce the Jacobi-geodesic equation

$$-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}((E-V(\gamma))\dot\gamma) - \frac{1}{2}g(\dot\gamma,\dot\gamma)\nabla V(\gamma) = 0, \quad \forall s\in[0,1].$$

Partial integration gives

$$\int_0^1 [(E-V(\gamma))g(\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}Y,\dot\gamma) - \frac{1}{2}g(\dot\gamma,\dot\gamma)g(\nabla V(\gamma),Y)]\,\mathrm{d}s = g(Y,(E-V(\gamma)\dot\gamma)\Big|_0^1.$$

By the regularity of $z_r(s)$ we have the continuity of y. Finally $z_r(1)=Q$ for any r gives

$$Y(1) = \tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}r}\tfrac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}\big|_{r=0} z_r(1) = 0,$$

while $[(E-V(\gamma))\dot\gamma](0)=0$. □

Now let $\gamma:[0,a]\to M$ be a Jacobi geodesic such that $\gamma(0)\in V^{-1}(E)$, parameterized by arc length, namely satisfying

$$\frac{1}{2}g(\dot\gamma,\dot\gamma)(E-V(\gamma)) \equiv 1. \tag{4.8}$$

Fix $s\in]0,a]$, consider the Hilbert manifold

$$X_s = \left\{ x\in AC([0,s],M) : \int_0^s g(\dot x,\dot x)\,\mathrm{d}\sigma < +\infty, x(0)\in V^{-1}(E), x(s)=\gamma(s)\right\}$$

and denote by $T_\gamma X_s$ its tangent space at $\gamma_{|[0,s]}$, endowed with the standard Hilbert structure (defined by (4.4) when $s=1$). Consider the symmetric bilinear form $I_s : T_\gamma X_s \times T_\gamma X_s \to \mathbb{R}$, obtained by polarization from (4.3) in the interval $[0,s]$, and defined by

$$I_s(\xi,\eta) = \int_0^s (E-V(\gamma))\Big[g(\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi,\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\eta) + g(R(\dot\gamma,\xi)\dot\gamma,\eta)\Big]\,\mathrm{d}s - \int_0^s \Big[g(\nabla V(\gamma),\xi)g(\dot\gamma,\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\eta) + g(\dot\gamma,\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi)g(\nabla V(\gamma),\eta) + \frac{1}{2}g(H^V(\gamma)[\xi],\eta)g(\dot\gamma,\dot\gamma)\Big]\,\mathrm{d}s, \tag{4.9}$$

where with a slight abuse of notation we are using the same notation $H^V(\gamma)$ for the linear application L such that $g(L[\xi],\eta) = H^V(\gamma)[\xi,\eta]$. Unfortunately, due to

the degeneracy of the Jacobi metric on $V^{-1}(E)$, the natural space where to study I_s is

$$Y_s = \Big\{ \xi \text{ absolutely continuous vector field along } \gamma_{|[0,s]} : \int_0^s (E - V(\gamma)) g(\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi, \tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi)\, \mathrm{d}s < +\infty,\ \xi(0) \in T_{\gamma(0)} V^{-1}(E),\ \xi(s) = 0 \Big\}, \tag{4.10}$$

equipped with the Hilbert structure

$$\langle \xi, \xi \rangle_{0,s} = \int_0^s (E - V(\gamma)) g(\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi, \tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi)\, \mathrm{d}s. \tag{4.11}$$

The quadratic form associated to I_a, namely $I_a(\xi, \xi)$, $\xi \in Y_a$, will be denoted as the *index form of* γ *in* $[0, a]$.

Definition 4.5. We define the *index* of I_a as the maximal dimension of all subspaces of Y_a on which the quadratic form $I_a(\xi, \xi)$ is negative definite. The *nullity* of I_a is defined to be the dimension of the subspace of Y_a consisting of the elements ξ such that

$$I_a(\xi, \eta) = 0 \text{ for all } \eta \in Y_a.$$

Such a subspace is called the *null space* of I_a.

The null space of I_a is strictly related to the Jacobi fields along γ which are defined in the following

Definition 4.6. Let γ be a geodesic as above. A vector field ξ along γ of class $C^0([0, a]) \cap C^2(]0, a])$ is called a *Jacobi field along* $\gamma_{|[0,a]}$ if it satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}\Big((E - V(\gamma))\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi\Big) + (E - V(\gamma)) R(\dot\gamma, \xi)\dot\gamma + \\ + \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}\big(g(\nabla V(\gamma), \xi)\dot\gamma\big) - g(\dot\gamma, \tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi)\nabla V(\gamma) + \\ - \frac{1}{2} g(\dot\gamma, \dot\gamma) H^V(\gamma)[\xi] = 0 \text{ for all } s \in]0, a]\,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Proposition 4.7. *$\xi \in Y_a$ is in the null space of I_a if and only if it is a Jacobi field along $\gamma_{|[0,a]}$ satisfying*

$$\text{the continuous map } (E - V(\gamma))\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi - g(\nabla V(\gamma), \xi)\dot\gamma, \text{ at } 0 \text{ is parallel to } \nabla V(\gamma(0)). \tag{4.13}$$

Proof. Suppose that $\xi \in Y_a$ is in the null space of I_a. Then

$$I_a(\xi, \eta) = 0 \text{ for any } \eta \in Y_a.$$

Standard regularization methods shows that $\xi \in C^2(]0, 1], \mathbb{R}^N)$ and using (4.9) after integration by parts of the quantity

$$\int_0^a (E - V(\gamma)) g(\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi, \tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\eta) - g(\nabla V(\gamma), \xi) g(\dot\gamma, \tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\eta)\, \mathrm{d}s$$

gives (4.12). Partial integration yields also (4.13) and shows that if $\xi \in Y_a$ satisfies (4.12) and (4.13), then ξ is in the null space of I_a. □

Remark 4.8. In analogy with the regularity of the Jacobi geodesics, one could expect that also the Jacobi fields in the null space of I_a are of class $H^{1,2}$. But this is not true in general. Consider for example the potential $V_0(x) = \frac{1}{2}\|x\|_e^2$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$, where $\|\cdot\|_e$ denotes the Euclidean norm. Let g_0 be the Euclidean metric and consider the Jacobi metric $g_* = (E - V_0)g_0$.

Fix P in the unit sphere and let ψ the solution of the differential equation

$$\dot{\psi}\sqrt{E - \tfrac{1}{2}\psi^2} = -1$$

in the interval $[0, a]$ such that $\psi(0) = \sqrt{2E}$. Straightforward computations shows that $\gamma(s) = \psi(s)P$ is a g_*–geodesic starting from the potential well, and $\xi(s) = \sqrt{E - \frac{1}{2}\psi^2}P = \sqrt{E - V_0(\gamma)}$ is a Jacobi field along γ which is in the null space of I_a in Y_a. Now $\dot{\xi}$ has the same behavior at 0 as $s^{-\frac{2}{3}}$, so ξ it is not in $H^{1,2}$.

Definition 4.9. The point $\gamma(s)$ is called *conjugate*[1] to $V^{-1}(E)$ if there exists a Jacobi field $\xi \in Y_s \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying (4.13). The *multiplicity* of the conjugate point $\gamma(s)$ is defined as the maximum number of such linearly independent vector fields.

Finally, we can state the Morse Index Theorem.

Theorem 4.10. *The index of I_a is finite and equals the number of points $\gamma(s)$, $s \in]0, a[$, conjugate to $V^{-1}(E)$ each counted with its multiplicity.*

Remark 4.11. Since $Y_a \cap H^{1,2}$ is dense in Y_a, we see that the Morse Index Theorem holds also using the vector subspaces of $Y_a \cap H^{1,2}$ to define the index of I_a, provided that we continue to use Jacobi fields lying in Y_a to define the conjugate points.

Remark 4.12. Note that by Theorem 4.10 we see that there is only a finite number of points conjugate to $V^{-1}(E)$.

To prove the Morse Index Theorem we need some preliminary results. The subtler one is Proposition 4.15, which deals with the existence of a minimizer for the quadratic form

$$F_s(\xi) = I_s(\xi, \xi)$$

($s \in]0, a]$) on the space

$$Y_s^W = \Big\{\xi \text{ absolutely continuous vector field along } \gamma\big|_{[0,s]} : \int_0^s (E - V(\gamma))g(\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi, \tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi)\,\mathrm{d}s < +\infty,\ \xi(0) \in T_{\gamma(0)}V^{-1}(E),\ \xi(s) = W\Big\} \tag{4.14}$$

where $W \in T_{\gamma(s)}M$.

To prove the Morse index Theorem we shall consider also the quadratic form I_{s_1,s_2} which is just the integral (4.9) in the interval $[s_1, s_2]$ ($0 < s_1 < s_2 \leq a$),

[1]For the singular case considered here, the term *conjugate* to the initial manifold seems more appropriate than the classical *focal*, since there is no contribution given by the second fundamental form of the initial manifold.

defined on the vector space

$$Y_{s_1,s_2}^{W_1,W_2} = \Big\{\xi \text{ absolutely continuous vector field along } \gamma\big|_{[s_1,s_2]} : \int_{s_1}^{s_2} g(\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi, \tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi)\,\mathrm{d}s < +\infty,\ \xi(s_1) = W_1, \xi(s_2) = W_2\Big\} \tag{4.15}$$

where $W_i \in T_{\gamma(s_1)}M, i = 1, 2$.

Remark 4.13. If $\int_0^s (E - V(\gamma)) g(\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi, \frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi)\,\mathrm{d}s < +\infty$, then $\|\xi\|_\infty < +\infty$ where $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is the L^∞-norm. Indeed, denoting by d_g the distance induced by the Riemannian structure g and by $\|\cdot\|$ the norm induced by g in the tangent space, we have, for any $0 < s_1 < s_2 \le a$,

$$d_g(\xi(s_1), \xi(s_2)) \le \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{\sqrt{E - V(\gamma)}\|\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi\|}{\sqrt{E - V(\gamma)}}\,\mathrm{d}s \le \Big(\int_{s_1}^{s_2} (E - V(\gamma))\|\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi\|^2\,\mathrm{d}s\Big)^{1/2} \cdot \Big(\int_{s_1}^{s_2} \|\dot\gamma\|^2\,\mathrm{d}s\Big)^{1/2},$$

while $\|\dot\gamma\|$ goes like $s^{-1/3}$ as $s \to 0^+$.

In order to prove the existence of a minimizer, the following Lemma will be useful:

Lemma 4.14. *If $\xi \in Y_s^W$ then*

$$\lim_{s\to 0^+} g\big(\nabla V(\gamma), \xi\big)\, g(\dot\gamma, \xi) = 0. \tag{4.16}$$

Proof. First observe that, by Corollary 4.2

$$g(\nabla V(\gamma), \xi) g(\dot\gamma, \xi) = g(\nabla V(\gamma), \xi) g\left(\xi, -\frac{\nabla V(\gamma)}{\|\nabla V(\gamma)\|}\right)\|\dot\gamma\| + g(\nabla V(\gamma), \xi) g(\xi, \Sigma)\|\dot\gamma\|.$$

Now

$$\lim_{s\to 0^+} g(\nabla V(\gamma), \xi) g(\xi, \Sigma)\|\dot\gamma\| = 0,$$

since $\|\Sigma\| \le C_0 s^{1/3}$, $\|\dot\gamma\| \le C_\gamma s^{-1/3}$ for some $C_\gamma > 0$, and $g(\nabla V(\gamma(0)), \xi(0)) = 0$. Moreover, for some positive constants d_1, d_2,

$$|g(\nabla V(\gamma), \xi)(s)| \le \int_0^s |g(H^V(\gamma)[\dot\gamma], \xi)|\,\mathrm{d}\sigma + \int_0^s |g(\nabla V(\gamma), \tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}\sigma}\xi)|\,\mathrm{d}\sigma \le d_1 s^{2/3}\|\xi\|_\infty + d_2\Big(\int_0^s \sqrt{E - V(\gamma)}\|\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi\|^2\,\mathrm{d}s\Big)^{1/2}\Big(\int_0^s \sigma^{-2/3}\,\mathrm{d}\sigma\Big)^{1/2},$$

so

$$|g(\nabla V(\gamma), \xi)(s)|^2\|\dot\gamma\| \le 2d_1^2 s\|\xi\|_\infty^2 + 2d_2\int_0^s (E - V(\gamma)) g(\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}\sigma}\xi, \tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}\sigma}\xi)\,\mathrm{d}\sigma,$$

from which we deduce (4.16), because the map $\big(E - V(\gamma)\big) g\big(\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi, \frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi\big)$ is in L^1. □

Proposition 4.15. *There exists $\hat s \in\,]0, a]$ such that, for all $s_* \in\,]0, \hat s]$ and for all $W \in T_{\gamma_{s_*}}M$, the functional F_{s_*} has a minimizer in $Y_{s_*}^W$, which is a Jacobi field along $\gamma|_{[0,s_*]}$.*

Proof. First let us recall from (4.9) that

$$I_{s_*}(\xi,\xi) = \int_0^{s_*} (E - V(\gamma))\Big[\|\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi\|^2 + g(R(\dot\gamma,\xi)\dot\gamma,\xi)\Big]\,\mathrm{d}s \\ - \int_0^{s_*} 2\Big[g(\nabla V(\gamma),\xi)g(\dot\gamma,\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi) + \frac{1}{2}g(H^V(\gamma)[\xi],\xi)\|\dot\gamma\|^2\Big]\,\mathrm{d}s, \tag{4.17}$$

Let us now estimate some of the terms in the above expression. First, let C_1 be a constant such that

$$\left|\int_0^{s_*} g(R(\dot\gamma,\xi)\dot\gamma,\xi) - \frac{1}{2}g(H^V(\gamma)[\xi],\xi)\|\dot\gamma\|^2\,\mathrm{d}s\right| \le \frac{C_1}{2}\int_0^{s_*}\|\dot\gamma\|^2\,\|\xi\|^2\,\mathrm{d}s \tag{4.18}$$

Now we want to estimate the first term in the second line of (4.17) above. To this end, we observe that, using integration by parts, recalling that $\xi(s_*) = W$ and γ satisfies (2.3), thanks to Lemma 4.14 we have for any $\xi \in Y^W_{s_*}$

$$-\int_0^{s_*} g(\nabla V(\gamma),\xi)g(\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi,\dot\gamma)\,\mathrm{d}s - \int_0^{s_*} g(\nabla V(\gamma),\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi)g(\xi,\dot\gamma)\,\mathrm{d}s = \\ - g(\nabla V(\gamma(s_*)),W)g(\dot\gamma(s_*),W) + \int_0^{s_*} g(H^V(\gamma)[\dot\gamma],\xi)g(\dot\gamma,\xi)\,\mathrm{d}s + \\ \int_0^{s_*}\frac{g(\nabla V(\gamma),\xi)}{E-V(\gamma)}\Big(g(\nabla V(\gamma),\dot\gamma)g(\dot\gamma,\xi) - \frac{1}{2}g(\dot\gamma,\dot\gamma)g(\nabla V(\gamma),\xi)\Big)\,\mathrm{d}s. \tag{4.19}$$

On the other side, using Corollary 4.2, one obtains

$$-\int_0^{s_*} g(\nabla V(\gamma),\xi)g(\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi,\dot\gamma)\,\mathrm{d}s - \int_0^{s_*} g(\nabla V(\gamma),\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi)g(\xi,\dot\gamma)\,\mathrm{d}s = \\ - 2\int_0^{s_*} g(\nabla V(\gamma),\xi)g(\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi,\dot\gamma)\,\mathrm{d}s + \\ \int_0^{s_*} g(\nabla V(\gamma),\xi)g(\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi,\Sigma)\|\dot\gamma\|\,\mathrm{d}s - \int_0^{s_*} g(\nabla V(\gamma),\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi)g(\xi,\Sigma)\|\dot\gamma\|\,\mathrm{d}s, \tag{4.20}$$

and then equating the righthand sides of (4.19) and (4.20) we get

$$-2\int_0^{s_*} g(\nabla V(\gamma),\xi)g(\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi,\dot\gamma)\,\mathrm{d}s = \\ - g(\nabla V(\gamma(s_*)),W)g(\dot\gamma(s_*),W) + \int_0^{s_*} g(H^V(\gamma)[\dot\gamma],\xi)g(\dot\gamma,\xi)\,\mathrm{d}s \\ + \int_0^{s_*}\frac{g(\nabla V(\gamma),\xi)}{E-V(\gamma)}\Big(g(\nabla V(\gamma),\dot\gamma)g(\dot\gamma,\xi) - \frac{1}{2}g(\dot\gamma,\dot\gamma)g(\nabla V(\gamma),\xi)\Big)\,\mathrm{d}s \\ - \int_0^{s_*}\big(g(\nabla V(\gamma),\xi)g(\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi,\Sigma) - g(\nabla V(\gamma),\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi)g(\xi,\Sigma)\big)\,\|\dot\gamma\|\,\mathrm{d}s. \tag{4.21}$$

We can now estimate the righthand side above as follows. First, let $C_2 = C_2(s_*)$ be a constant such that

$$|g(\nabla V(\gamma(s_*)),W)g(\dot\gamma(s_*),W)| \le C_2. \tag{4.22}$$

Secondly, there exists a constant – that we can assume equal to C_1 as in (4.18) – such that

$$\left|\int_0^{s_*} g(H^V(\gamma)[\dot\gamma],\xi)g(\dot\gamma,\xi)\,\mathrm{d}s\right| \le \frac{C_1}{2}\int_0^{s_*}\|\dot\gamma\|^2\,\|\xi\|^2\,\mathrm{d}s. \tag{4.23}$$

Since $E - V(\gamma(s))$ behaves like $s^{2/3}$ as $s \to 0$, by Corollary 4.2, we immediately obtain the existence of a constant $\tilde{C}_0$ such that

$$\|\Sigma\| \leq \tilde{C}_0 \sqrt{E - V(\gamma(s))} \text{ for any } s. \tag{4.24}$$

Then there exists a constant C such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_0^{s_*} \big(g(\nabla V(\gamma), \xi) g(\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi, \Sigma) - g(\nabla V(\gamma), \tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi) g(\xi, \Sigma) \big) \, \|\dot\gamma\| \, \mathrm{d}s \right| \\ &\qquad \leq C_3 \|\xi\|_\infty \Big(\int_0^{s_*} (E - V(\gamma)) \|\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi\|^2 \, \mathrm{d}s \Big)^{1/2} \Big(\int_0^{s_*} \|\dot\gamma\|^2 \, \mathrm{d}s \Big)^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

where $\| \cdot \|_\infty$ denotes the norm in L^∞.

Finally, to estimate the remaining part in (4.21), observe that by Corollary 4.2 again we get

$$\begin{aligned} &g(\nabla V(\gamma), \dot\gamma) g(\dot\gamma, \xi) - \tfrac{1}{2} g(\dot\gamma, \dot\gamma) g(\nabla V(\gamma), \xi) = \\ &\qquad \|\dot\gamma\|^2 \Big[\tfrac{1}{2} g(\nabla V(\gamma), \xi) - \|\nabla V(\gamma)\| g(\Sigma, \xi) \\ &\qquad\qquad + g(\nabla V(\gamma), \Sigma) g(\xi, \Sigma) - \frac{1}{2} \|\Sigma\|^2 g(\nabla V(\gamma), \xi) \Big], \end{aligned}$$

obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{s_*} \frac{g(\nabla V(\gamma), \xi)}{E - V(\gamma)} \Big(g(\nabla V(\gamma), \dot\gamma) g(\dot\gamma, \xi) - \frac{1}{2} g(\dot\gamma, \dot\gamma) g(\nabla V(\gamma), \xi) \Big) \, \mathrm{d}s = \\ &\qquad \int_0^{s_*} \frac{g(\nabla V(\gamma), \xi)^2}{2(E - V(\gamma))} \|\dot\gamma\|^2 \, \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^{s_*} \frac{g(\nabla V(\gamma), \xi)}{(E - V(\gamma))} \cdot \\ &\cdot \left(- \|\nabla V(\gamma)\| g(\Sigma, \xi) + g(\nabla V(\gamma), \Sigma) g(\xi, \Sigma) - \frac{1}{2} \|\Sigma\|^2 g(\nabla V(\gamma), \xi) \right) \|\dot\gamma\|^2 \, \mathrm{d}s, \end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

and now observe that the first integral in the righthand side above, since by (4.8) $\|\dot\gamma\|^2 = 2(E - V(\gamma))^{-1}$, can be written as

$$\int_0^{s_*} \frac{g(\nabla V(\gamma), \xi)^2}{2(E - V(\gamma))} \|\dot\gamma\|^2 \, \mathrm{d}s = \int_0^{s_*} \left(\frac{g(\nabla V(\gamma), \xi)}{E - V(\gamma)} \right)^2 \mathrm{d}s \tag{4.27}$$

while the second integral in the righthand side of (4.26), (using (4.24) to estimate the infinitesimal quantity Σ and recalling $\|\dot\gamma\|^2 = 2(E - V(\gamma))^{-1}$ again), can be estimated in norm by the quantity

$$C_4 \int_0^{s_*} \frac{|g(\nabla V(\gamma), \xi)|}{(E - V(\gamma))} \|\xi\| \, \|\dot\gamma\| \, \mathrm{d}s \tag{4.28}$$

for some suitable constant C_4.

Therefore, joining together in (4.17) information from (4.18) and (4.21)–(4.28), we can control $I_{s_*}(\xi, \xi)$ from below as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{s_*}(\xi, \xi) \geq &\int_0^{s_*} \Big[(E - V(\gamma)) \, \big\| \tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi \big\|^2 + \left(\frac{g(\nabla V(\gamma), \xi)}{E - V(\gamma)} \right)^2 \Big] \, \mathrm{d}s \\ &- C_2 - C_1 \int_0^{s_*} \|\dot\gamma\|^2 \, \|\xi\|^2 \, \mathrm{d}s - C_4 \int_0^{s_*} \frac{|g(\nabla V(\gamma), \xi)|}{(E - V(\gamma))} \|\xi\| \, \|\dot\gamma\| \, \mathrm{d}s \\ &- C_3 \|\xi\|_\infty \Big(\int_0^{s_*} (E - V(\gamma)) \|\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi\|^2 \, \mathrm{d}s \Big)^{1/2} \Big(\int_0^{s_*} \|\dot\gamma\|^2 \, \mathrm{d}s \Big)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

Now our aim is to find two positive constant values δ and A depending on s_* such that

$$I_{s_*}(\xi,\xi) \geq \delta \int_0^{s_*} \Big[(E-V(\gamma)) \left\|\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi\right\|^2 + \left(\frac{g(\nabla V(\gamma),\xi)}{E-V(\gamma)}\right)^2\Big]\,\mathrm{d}s - A(s_*). \tag{4.30}$$

To prove this fact, the terms on the second and third row in (4.29) must be conveniently estimated. As an example, we show the argument for the term which is multiplied by C_1. First observe that, thanks to Remark 4.13, we can write

$$\|\xi\|_\infty \leq \|W\| + \Big(\int_0^{s_*} (E-V(\gamma)) \left\|\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi\right\|^2 \,\mathrm{d}s\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big(\int_0^{s_*} \|\dot\gamma\|^2\,\mathrm{d}s\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{4.31}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^{s_*} \|\dot\gamma\|^2 \,\|\xi\|^2\,\mathrm{d}s \\
&\leq \left[\|W\| + \Big(\int_0^{s_*} (E-V(\gamma)) \left\|\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi\right\|^2 \,\mathrm{d}s\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big(\int_0^{s_*} \|\dot\gamma\|^2\,\mathrm{d}s\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]^2 \int_0^{s_*} \|\dot\gamma\|^2\,\mathrm{d}s \\
&\qquad \leq 2\|W\|^2 \int_0^{s_*} \|\dot\gamma\|^2\,\mathrm{d}s + 2\int_0^{s_*} (E-V(\gamma)) \left\|\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi\right\|^2 \,\mathrm{d}s \int_0^{s_*} \|\dot\gamma\|^2\,\mathrm{d}s.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\|\dot\gamma\|^2$ is in $L^1([0,s_*]$, we can choose s_* in such a way that

$$-C_1 \int_0^{s_*} \|\dot\gamma\|^2\,\|\xi\|^2\,\mathrm{d}s \geq -A_1 - \delta_1 \int_0^{s_*} (E-V(\gamma)) \left\|\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi\right\|^2 \,\mathrm{d}s$$

with $\delta_1 > 0$ that can made arbitrarily small choosing s_* small enough. Likewise, all the other terms in the second and third row of (4.29) can be estimated in order to obtain (4.30).

Now let ξ_n be a minimizing sequence. First note that by (4.30), the quantity

$$\int_0^{s_*} \Big[(E-V(\gamma)) \left\|\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi\right\|^2 + \left(\frac{g(\nabla V(\gamma),\xi)}{E-V(\gamma)}\right)^2\Big]$$

is bounded. Then, up to taking subsequences, we can assume the weak convergence $\xi_n \rightharpoonup \xi$ in $H^1_{loc}(]0,s_*])$, and the uniform convergence of ξ_n to ξ in $[0,s_*]$ (thanks to Remark 4.13), from which we deduce that $\xi \in Y^W_{s*}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^{s_*} \Big[(E-V(\gamma)) \left\|\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi\right\|^2 + \left(\frac{g(\nabla V(\gamma),\xi)}{E-V(\gamma)}\right)^2\Big] \\
&\qquad\qquad \leq \liminf_{n\to+\infty} \int_0^{s_*} \Big[(E-V(\gamma)) \left\|\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi_n\right\|^2 + \left(\frac{g(\nabla V(\gamma),\xi_n)}{E-V(\gamma)}\right)^2\Big].
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since ξ_n is uniformly convergent to ξ and $\|\dot\gamma\|^2$ is in $L^1([0,s_*]$ we have

$$\int_0^{s_*} (E-V(\gamma)) g(R(\dot\gamma,\xi_n)\dot\gamma,\xi_n)\mathrm{d}s \to \int_0^{s_*} (E-V(\gamma)) g(R(\dot\gamma,\xi)\dot\gamma,\xi)\mathrm{d}s$$

and

$$\int_0^{s_*} g(H^V(\gamma)[\dot\gamma],\xi_n) g(\dot\gamma,\xi_n)\,\mathrm{d}s \to \int_0^{s_*} g(H^V(\gamma)[\dot\gamma],\xi) g(\dot\gamma,\xi)\,\mathrm{d}s.$$

Now, estimate (4.25) can be obtained for any $\bar s \in]0, s_*]$, and we can choose $\bar s$ so that

$$\int_0^{\bar s} \big(g(\nabla V(\gamma),\xi_n)g(\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi_n,\Sigma) - g(\nabla V(\gamma),\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi_n)g(\xi_n,\Sigma)\big)\,\|\dot\gamma\|\,\mathrm{d}s$$

is arbitrarily small, because $\int_0^{s_*}(E-V(\gamma))\|\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi_n\|^2\,\mathrm{d}s$ is equi-bounded and $\|\dot\gamma\|^2$ is in L^1. Moreover the week convergence of ξ_n in $H^1([\bar s, s_*])$ gives

$$\int_{\bar s}^{s_*} \big(g(\nabla V(\gamma),\xi_n)g(\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi_n,\Sigma) - g(\nabla V(\gamma),\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi_n)g(\xi_n,\Sigma)\big)\,\|\dot\gamma\|\,\mathrm{d}s \to \int_{\bar s}^{s_*} \big(g(\nabla V(\gamma),\xi)g(\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi,\Sigma) - g(\nabla V(\gamma),\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi)g(\xi,\Sigma)\big)\,\|\dot\gamma\|\,\mathrm{d}s.$$

Analogously we can choose $\bar s$ so that

$$\int_0^{\bar s} \frac{g(\nabla V(\gamma),\xi_n)}{(E-V(\gamma))}\Big(-\|\nabla V(\gamma)\|g(\Sigma,\xi_n) + g(\nabla V(\gamma),\Sigma)g(\xi_n,\Sigma) + -\frac{1}{2}\|\Sigma\|^2 g(\nabla V(\gamma),\xi_n)\Big)\|\dot\gamma\|^2\,\mathrm{d}s$$

is arbitrarily small, and use the uniform convergency of ξ_n to obtain that

$$\int_{\bar s}^{s_*} \frac{g(\nabla V(\gamma),\xi_n)}{(E-V(\gamma))}\Big(-\|\nabla V(\gamma)\|g(\Sigma,\xi_n) + g(\nabla V(\gamma),\Sigma)g(\xi_n,\Sigma) + -\frac{1}{2}\|\Sigma\|^2 g(\nabla V(\gamma),\xi_n)\Big)\|\dot\gamma\|^2\,\mathrm{d}s \to \int_{\bar s}^{s_*} \frac{g(\nabla V(\gamma),\xi)}{(E-V(\gamma))}\Big(-\|\nabla V(\gamma)\|g(\Sigma,\xi) + g(\nabla V(\gamma),\Sigma)g(\xi,\Sigma) + -\frac{1}{2}\|\Sigma\|^2 g(\nabla V(\gamma),\xi)\Big)\|\dot\gamma\|^2\,\mathrm{d}s.$$

In a such a way, by (4.21),

$$\int_0^{s_*} g(\nabla V(\gamma),\xi_n)g(\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi_n,\dot\gamma)\,\mathrm{d}s \to \int_0^{s_*} g(\nabla V(\gamma),\xi)g(\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi,\dot\gamma)\,\mathrm{d}s$$

and we are done. □

Proposition 4.16. *Let $\hat s$ be as in Proposition 4.15 and $s_* \in]0,\hat s]$. Then for any $W \in T_{\gamma(s_*)}M$, I_{s_*} is strictly positive definite and there exists a unique Jacobi field in $Y^W_{s_*}$.*

Proof. Denote by J_{s_*} the linear space consisting of the Jacobi fields ξ such that $\langle\xi,\xi\rangle_{0,s_*} < +\infty$ (cf (4.11)) and $\xi(0) \in T_{\gamma(0)}V^{-1}(E)$. Consider the linear map $L : J_{s_*} \to T_{\gamma(s_*)}M$ such that $L(\xi) = \xi(s_*)$. By Proposition 4.15 L is surjective. To prove injectivity observe that, if $W = 0$, by the same proof of Proposition 4.15 we obtain the existence of $\delta_0 > 0$ such that (if $\hat s$ is sufficiently small)

$$I_{s_*}(\xi,\xi) \geq \delta_0\langle\xi,\xi\rangle_{0,s_*},\ \text{for any } \xi \in Y^0_{s_*},$$

proving that there is a unique vector field in the null space of I_{s_*}, namely the null Jacobi field. □

Since the Jacobi metric along a geodesic moving from the boundary of potential well is degenerate only at the starting point, using standard estimates for the Hessian of the action integral written in terms of the Jacobi metric, we obtain also the following

Proposition 4.17. *For any $\delta > 0$ there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that, if $\delta \leq s_1 < s_2 \leq a$, $s_2 - s_1 \leq \epsilon$ and $W_1 \in T_{\gamma(s_1)}M, W_2 \in T_{\gamma(s_2)}M$, the quadratic form I_{s_1,s_2} is strictly positive definite and there exists a unique minimizer. It is a Jacobi field ξ (of class $H^{1,2}$ along $\gamma_{|[s_1,s_2]}$) such that $\xi(s_1) = W_1, \xi(s_2) = W_2$.*

Thanks to Propositions 4.16 and 4.17 we can choose a subdivision

$$0 = s_0 < s_1 < \ldots < s_{k-1} < s_k = a$$

such that $s_1 \leq \hat{s}$ and, for any $i = 2, \ldots, k$, $s_i - s_{i-1} \leq \epsilon$, where $\delta = s_1$. Denote by $\mathcal{V}$ the space of the vector fields along $\gamma_{|[0,a]}$ of class $H^{1,2}$ and such that

$$\langle \xi, \xi \rangle_{0,s_1} < +\infty, \ g(\xi(0), \nabla V(\gamma(0)) = 0. \tag{4.32}$$

Moreover denote by $\mathcal{V}^-$ the finite–dimensional vector subspace of $\mathcal{V}$ consisting of the vector fields ξ along $\gamma_{[0,a]}$ satisfying (4.13) and such that for any $i = 1, \ldots, k$, $\xi_{|[s_{i-1},s_i]}$ is a Jacobi field along $\gamma_{|[s_{i-1},s_i]}$. Moreover denote by $\mathcal{V}^+$ the vector subspace of $\mathcal{V}$ consisting of the vector fields η along γ such that

$$\langle \eta, \eta \rangle_{0,s_1} < +\infty, \quad \eta(s_1) = \eta(s_2) = \ldots = \eta(s_{k-1}) = 0.$$

Proposition 4.18. *$\mathcal{V}$ is direct sum $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}^+ \oplus \mathcal{V}^-$, and the subspace $\mathcal{V}^+$ and $\mathcal{V}^-$ are orthogonal with respect to I_a. In addition, I_a restricted to $\mathcal{V}^+$ is positive definite.*

Proof. Let $\eta \in \mathcal{V}$, and $\xi \in \mathcal{V}^-$ such that $\xi(s_j) = \eta(s_j)$ for any $j = 1, \ldots, k-1$. Note that by Propositions 4.16 and 4.17 we see that such a ξ exists and it is unique, from which we deduce that $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}^+ \oplus \mathcal{V}^-$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} I_a(\xi, \eta) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \Big(\int_{s_{i-1}}^{s_i} (E - V(\gamma)) \Big[g(\tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi, \tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\eta) + g(R(\dot\gamma, \xi)\dot\gamma, \eta) \Big] \, \mathrm{d}s + \\ - \int_{s_{i-1}}^{s_i} \Big[g(\nabla V(\gamma), \xi) g(\dot\gamma, \tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\eta) + g(\dot\gamma, \tfrac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}s}\xi) g(\nabla V(\gamma), \eta) + \\ \frac{1}{2} g(H^V(\gamma)[\xi], \eta) g(\dot\gamma, \dot\gamma) \Big] \, \mathrm{d}s \Big), \end{aligned}$$

so integrating by parts gives $I_a(\xi, \eta) = 0$ for any $\xi \in \mathcal{V}^-$, for any $\eta \in \mathcal{V}^+$. Finally thanks to the definition of $\mathcal{V}^+$ and Propositions 4.16 and 4.17 we deduce also that I_a is strictly positive definite on $\mathcal{V}^+$. □

Corollary 4.19. *The index of I_a is equal to the index of I_a restricted to $\mathcal{V}^-$. In particular the index of I_a is finite. And the same result also holds for the nullity of I_a.*

Proof of Theorem 4.10. Propositions 4.16, 4.17 and 4.18 allows to repeat the proof of the Index Theorem given in [1] (where the case with fixed extreme points is considered). Here we only observe that, to prove [1, Lemma 2.8], it is more convenient to use Proposition 4.17, rather the Index Lemma in [1, Chapter 10]. □

Remark 4.20. Proposition 4.17 (rather than the Index Lemma of [1, Ch. 10]) is used to prove that, denoting by ν_s the nullity of I_s and by $i(s)$ its index, for any $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small

$$i(s+\epsilon) = i(s) + \nu_s.$$

REFERENCES

[1] M. P. do Carmo, *Riemannian Geometry*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1992.
[2] T. Sakai, *Riemannian Geometry*, American Mathematical Soc., 1996.
[3] R. Giambò, F. Giannoni, P. Piccione, *Orthogonal Geodesic Chords, Brake Orbits and Homoclinic Orbits in Riemannian Manifolds*, Adv. Differential Equations **10** (2005) 931–960.
[4] R. Giambò, F. Giannoni, P. Piccione, *Existence of orthogonal geodesic chords on Riemannian manifolds with concave boundary and homeomorphic to the N-dimensional disk*, Nonlinear Analysis **A 73** (2010) 290–337.
[5] R. Giambò, F. Giannoni, P. Piccione, *Multiple Brake Orbits and Homoclinics in Riemannian Manifolds*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **200** (2011) 691–724.
[6] Y. Long, D. Zhang, C. Zhu, *Multiple brake orbits in bounded convex symmetric domains*, Adv. Math. **203** (2006) no. 2, 568–635.
[7] Y. Long, C. Zhu, *Closed characteristics on compact convex hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$* Ann. of Math. (2) **155** (2002) no. 2, 317–368.
[8] P. H. Rabinowitz, *Critical point theory and applications to differential equations: a survey*. Topological nonlinear analysis, 464–513, Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. **15** Birkhäuser, Boston, 1995.
[9] H. Seifert, *Periodische Bewegungen Machanischer Systeme*, Math. Z. **51** (1948) 197–216.

SCUOLA DI SCIENZE E TECNOLOGIE
UNIVERSITÀ DI CAMERINO
ITALY
E-mail address: roberto.giambo@unicam.it, fabio.giannoni@unicam.it

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA
INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA E ESTATÍSTICA
UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO
BRAZIL
E-mail address: piccione@ime.usp.br